

Assessment Details

SCORE: 3.0 Mertz, Sarah



OVERALL COMMENT: Loved the lessons that were created! I am always so impressed with these ladies ability to be flexible at a moment's notice! I very much enjoyed working with these two.

Assessed Criteria

Criterion	Description	Score	Comments
Completion of Assignments: Candidate successfully completes tasks by deadlines		0.0 3.0	

Criterion	Description	Score	3.0	Comments
Punctuality - Candidate arrives punctually		0.0	3.0	
Prepared - Candidate is consistently prepared		0.0	3.0	
Attendance - Candidate attends required hours as scheduled		0.0	3.0 3.0 3.0	
Accountability - Candidate follows through on all assigned tasks		0.0	3.0	
Safety - Canddiate contributes to a safe/secure environment by following established procedures		0.0	3.0	
Appearance - Candidate follows dress code by keeping a well-kept appearance		0.0	3.0	
Cooperation - Candidate engages collegially with others* *others refers to peers, collaborators, administrators, etc.		0.0	3.0 3.0 3.0	
Appearance of Work Area - Candidate keeps work area neat/orderly		0.0	3.0	
Attitude - Candidate shows optimism in all settings/times		0.0	3.0	
Respectfulness - Candidate is polite in all dealings with others		0.0	3.0	

Criterion	Description	Score	3.0	Comments
Accepts Criticism - Candidate accepts responsibility for actions by applying suggested changes to future work		0.0	3.0 3.0 3.0	
Flexibility - Candidate has capacity to respond to changing situations/expectations		0.0	3.0	
Policies & Procedures - Candidate follows organization's policies/procedures consistently		0.0	3.0	
Respect - Candidate treats others with respect at all times		0.0	3.0	
Willingness to Develop Skills - Candidate is willing to take on challenges to learn new techniques		0.0	3.0 3.0	
Organizational Skills - Candidate has capacity to use time effectively by staying on track/focused		0.0	3.0	
Confidentiality - Candidate does not discuss internal events with coworkers or peers		0.0	3.0	
Oral Communication - Candidate's articulation/intonation is appropriately engaging		0.0	3.0	
Oral Communication - Candidate uses professional language* *refers to few grammatical errors, proper usage of educational lingo/terminology		0.0	3.0	
Written Communication - Candidate clearly organizes ideas in written communication		0.0	3.0 3.0 3.0	

Criterion	Description	Score	Comments
Written Communication - Candidate uses professional language* in written communication *refers to proper usage of educational terminology, proper grammar/punctuation		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Digital Communication - Candidate uses electronic means of communicating in a responsible way		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Respectful Language - Candidate uses positive wording* when working with others. *refers to non-judgmental, kind tone, not sarcastic or shaming		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Respectful Dialogue - Candidate asks appropriate questions to seek clarification when needed		0.0 3.0	
Quality of Work - Candidate's work is consistently thorough/organized		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Quality of Work - Candidate's work shows evidence of strong effort/initiative		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Student Interaction - Candidate ensures high quality engagement		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Student Interaction - Candidate respects others' dignity/confidentiality		0.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	
Conflict Resolution - Candidate seeks constructive approaches to resolving issues		0.0 3.0	

Criterion	Description	Score	Comments
Technology Usage - Candidate uses school- approved technology that promotes student learning		0.0	3.0

Annotated Documents

Comments on Page Content